

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/509,181	09/23/2004	Swindell Allen Grimsley	PP/3-22330/A/CGC 2113/PCT	7342	
324	7590 10/04/2006	•	EXAM	INER	
CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION			CORDRAY,	CORDRAY, DENNIS R	
PATENT D	EPARTMENT				
540 WHITE PLAINS RD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P O BOX 2005			1731		
TARRYTOWN, NY 10591-9005			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/509;181	GRIMSLEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dennis Cordray	1731	

	Dennis Cordray	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in come with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		imphant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	-	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-17.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The first the state of	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attack	nea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/509,181

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims recite new limitations not previously claimed that would require further consideration and searching.

Continuation of 13. Other: With regard to Applicant's remarks, the Examiner thanks Applicant for the definitions of the terms "broke", "white water", "pitch", "stickies", and "white pitch".

Applicant's arguments appear to apply to the claims as currently amended, particularly Claim 1, rather than to the rejected claims. Applicant argues that the references fail to disclose coated waste that contains latex, as amended Claim 1 recites. Applicant is thus arguing limitations from the Disclosure, which are not read into the rejected claims. The rejected claims recite structural limitations of recycled paper products as well as the addition of a cationic coagulant polymer and microparticle material. The references recite all of the structural features of the claims, thus anticipate the claimed structure. As detailed in the rejections, when the claimed and prior art structures are substantially identical, the claimed properties are inherent, including the property of reducing the deposition of white pitch.

Applicant's argument that the references used to respond to previous arguments do not teach that all recycled paper or all coated recycled paper will contain white pitch is irrelevant because the references used in the rejections of the claims disclose all of the structural features of the claims.

STEVEN P. GRIFFIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/509,181	GRIMSLEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dennis Cordray	1731	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
The amendment document filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is considered non-complication requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be item(s) is required.				
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUME 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	NT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:			
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.B. Other				
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "R "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with C. Other 	en eliminated. Replacement drawings			
 △ Amendments to the claims: △ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. △ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claim. △ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identified of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every conumber by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and △ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented. △ E. Other: 	fier, and as such, the individual status laim must be indicated after its claim al), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn-currently amended).			
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance v	with 37 CFR 1.4):			
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see	MPEP § 714.			
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:				
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after- entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 				
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.				
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-camendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	compliant amendment is a non-final			
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a pre amendment.				
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.			